

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIAMICHAEL DEAN JONES,  
Plaintiff,

No. C-12-2870 EMC (pr)

v.

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL**CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
FRANCISCO; *et al.*,  
Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

On January 29, 2013, mail was sent from the Court to Plaintiff at the address he provided on his complaint and was returned undelivered on February 19, 2013, marked “return to sender - attempted - not known - unable to forward.” (Docket # 12.) Plaintiff has not provided any address other than the one to which the undeliverable mail was sent. More than sixty days have passed since the mail was returned to the Court undelivered. Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 3-11(a) which requires that a party proceeding *pro se* must “promptly file with the Court and serve upon all opposing parties a Notice of Change of Address specifying the new address” when his address changes. Local Rule 3-11(b) allows the Court to dismiss a complaint without prejudice when mail directed to a *pro se* party is returned as not deliverable and the *pro se* party fails to send a current address within sixty days of the return of the undelivered mail. This action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice because Plaintiff failed to keep the Court informed of his address in compliance with Local Rule 3-11(a).

///

///


Defendants' unopposed motion to dismiss for failure to update address of record is

**GRANTED.** (Docket # 9.)

The Clerk shall close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 7, 2013

  
EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States District Judge